## Case 4:12-cr-00234-PJH Document 9 Filed 04/25/12 Page 1 of 1

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

FILED

United States of America,	) Cas	e No. CR 12-00234 PJH	APR 25 2012
Plaintiff, v.	) STI ) TIM )	PULATED ORDER EXCLUME UNDER THE SPEEDY T	RICHARD VV. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT JDINGSTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND CRIAL ACT
David Rayshun Tate,	)		
Defendant.	)		
For the reason stated by the parties on Act from April 25, 2012 to May 9 outweigh the best interest of the public Court makes this finding and bases this	, 2012 and finance and the defendant	nds that the ends of justice so t in a speedy trial. <i>See</i> 18 U.S	erved by the continuance
Failure to grant a con See 18 U.S.C. § 3161	inuance would be h)(7)(B)(I).	likely to result in a miscarria	age of justice.
defendants, the nature or law, that it is unrea	of the prosecution sonable to expect a	ue to <i>[circle applicable reason</i> , or the existence of novel quadequate preparation for pretoy this section. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C.	uestions of fact trial proceedings or the trial
Failure to grant a contaking into account the	nuance would der exercise of due d	ny the defendant reasonable t liligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 31	time to obtain counsel, 61(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a cont counsel's other schedu See 18 U.S.C. § 31610	led case commitm	reasonably deny the defendant nents, taking into account the	nt continuity of counsel, given exercise of due diligence.
	preparation, takin	reasonably deny the defendaring into account the exercise of	
For the reasons stated a 3161(b) and waived w 5.1(c) and (d).	on the record, it is the the consent of t	further ordered that time is e the defendant under Federal l	excluded under 18 U.S.C. § Rules of Criminal Procedure
IT IS SO ORDERED.  DATED: 4/25, 2012		HON. KANDIS WESTM United States Magistrate.	

STIPULATED:

JOYCE LEAVITT Attorney for Defendant MAUREEN C. ØNYEAGBAKO

Special Assistant United States Attorney